

# NONCOMMUTATIVE INTERPOLATION AND POISSON TRANSFORMS II

ALVARO ARIAS AND GELU POPESCU

ABSTRACT. We associate to certain weights  $\omega_\alpha > 0$  some weighted left creation operators  $W_1, \dots, W_n$  on the full Fock space. The weighted noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$  is the WOT-closure of the algebra generated by  $W_1, \dots, W_n$  and the identity. Noncommutative Poisson transforms on  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$  are used to provide a WOT-continuous,  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ -functional calculus for sequences of operators satisfying certain positivity conditions.

This leads to completely isometric representations of the quotient algebra  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)/J$  on Hilbert spaces, where  $J$  is any  $w^*$ -closed, 2-sided ideal in  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ . We obtain noncommutative interpolation problems of Carathéodory and of Nevanlinna-Pick in  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$  and in the space of multipliers of some weighted Hardy spaces in the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

In this paper we use a technique from [APo2] to obtain simple and explicit completely isometric representations of quotients of some weighted Fock spaces  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$  (see Section 1 for notation) and of the space of multipliers  $M(\omega_k)$  of some weighted Hardy spaces on the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  (see Section 3 for notation). The selection of the weights is motivated by a paper of Quiggin [Q].

We use these representations to obtain noncommutative Carathéodory's and Nevanlinna-Pick's interpolation theorems on  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ , and to obtain multivariate Carathéodory's interpolation theorems on  $M(\omega_k)$ . Furthermore, we give new proofs of Nevanlinna-Pick's interpolation theorems on  $M(\omega_k)$  (see [Q]), and of Carathéodory's interpolation theorems on  $M(\omega_k)$  when  $n = 1$  (see [Mc1]). In particular, we obtain new proofs of Nevanlinna-Pick's and Carathéodory's interpolation theorem on the Dirichlet algebra. This was proved by Agler in the 80's but remains unpublished (see [Q] and [Mc1]).

The setting of this paper is the following:  $A$  is a unital  $w^*$ -closed subalgebra of  $B(H)$ ,  $J$  is a  $w^*$ -closed 2-sided ideal of  $A$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_J \subset H$  is the orthogonal complement of the image of  $J$ , and  $P_{\mathcal{N}_J}$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $\mathcal{N}_J$ . It is well known

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47D25, Secondary 30E05.

Draft 10/15/97

The second author was partially supported by NSF DMS-9531954.

that the map  $\Psi_J : A/J \rightarrow B(\mathcal{N}_J)$  defined by  $\Psi_J(a+J) = P_{\mathcal{N}_J} a|_{\mathcal{N}_J}$  is a completely contractive representation. In [S], Sarason proved that if  $A$  is the analytic Toeplitz algebra  $H^\infty$  and  $J$  is a  $w^*$ -closed ideal of  $H^\infty$ , then  $\Psi_J : H^\infty/J \rightarrow B(\mathcal{N}_J)$  is a completely isometric representation. In [APo2] and [DP3], this result was extended to the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra  $F^\infty$ , which was introduced in [Po4] in connection with a noncommutative version of von Neumann's inequality [vN]. We gave two proofs of the representation result of the quotient algebra  $F^\infty/J$  in [APo2]: one based on the noncommutative commutant lifting theorem of [Po2], and the other based on representations of quotient algebras and the noncommutative Poisson transforms of [Po7]. Davidson and Pitts [DP3] gave a different proof, which was based on the structure of invariant subspaces of  $F^\infty$  (see [Po1]), and results on ideals and  $\mathbb{A}_1$ -properties of  $F^\infty$  (see [DP1]).

In [APo2], we used ideas of Cole (see [Pi]) to show that if  $J$  is a  $w^*$ -closed 2-sided ideal of the unital  $w^*$ -closed subalgebra  $A$  of  $B(H)$ , then there exists a subspace  $\mathcal{E} \subset \ell_2 \otimes H$  such that the map  $\widehat{\Psi} : A/J \rightarrow B(\ell_2 \otimes H)$  defined by  $\widehat{\Psi}(a+J) = P_{\mathcal{E}}(I_{\ell_2} \otimes a)|_{\mathcal{E}}$  is a completely isometric representation. We then used the noncommutative Poisson transform of [Po7] to show that if  $A = F^\infty$ , then there exists a  $w^*$ -continuous completely contractive map  $\Phi_J : B(\mathcal{N}_J) \rightarrow B(\ell_2 \otimes H)$  so that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A/J & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Psi}} & B(\ell_2 \otimes_2 H) \\ \Psi_J \searrow & & \nearrow \Phi_J \\ & B(\mathcal{N}_J) & \end{array} .$$

Since  $\widehat{\Psi}$  is completely isometric and  $\Psi_J$  and  $\Phi_J$  are completely contractive, we concluded that  $\Psi_J : F^\infty/J \rightarrow B(\mathcal{N}_J)$  was completely isometric.

In this paper, we show that if  $A = F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ , where the weights satisfy the conditions of Section 1, and  $J$  is a  $w^*$ -closed, 2-sided ideal of  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ , then we can modify the noncommutative Poisson transform of [Po7] to obtain a completely contractive map  $\Phi_J : B(\mathcal{N}_J) \rightarrow B(\ell_2 \otimes H)$  so that  $\widehat{\Psi} = \Phi_J \circ \Psi_J$ . A similar argument works in the commutative case. If  $A = M(\omega_k)$ , where the weights satisfy the conditions of Section 3, and  $J$  is a  $w^*$ -closed 2-sided ideal of  $M(\omega_k)$ , then one can modify the dilation of [Dr] to obtain a completely contractive map  $\Phi_J : B(\mathcal{N}_J) \rightarrow B(\ell_2 \otimes H)$  so that  $\widehat{\Psi} = \Phi_J \circ \Psi_J$ . However, we will only present the arguments for  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ , since the commutative case follows easily from this. Furthermore, the computations in the noncommutative case are simpler.

Let us mention that when  $\omega_\alpha = 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ , then  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$  coincides with the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra  $F^\infty$  (see [Po4]). This algebra has been studied in several papers [Po1], [Po2], [Po3], [Po4], [Po5], [Po6], [APo1], [APo2], [DP1], [DP2], and [DP3]. In this setting, the Carathéodory interpolation problem was obtained in [Po6] using the noncommutative commutant lifting theorem [Po2] (see [SzF] and [FFr] for the classical case), and the Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation problem was proved in [APo2] and [DP3] independently.

### 1. Notation and preliminaries

Unless explicitly stated,  $n$  stands for a cardinal number between  $1 \leq n \leq \aleph_0$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Hilbert space with orthonormal basis  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$ . We consider the Full Fock space  $[\mathbf{E}]$  of  $\mathcal{H}_n$

$$\mathcal{F}^2 = \mathcal{F}^2(\mathcal{H}_n) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{H}_n^{\otimes k},$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_n^{\otimes 0} = \mathbb{C}1$  and  $\mathcal{H}_n^{\otimes k}$  is the (Hilbert) tensor product of  $k$  copies of  $\mathcal{H}_n$ . We shall denote by  $\mathcal{P}$  the set of all  $p \in \mathcal{F}^2(\mathcal{H}_n)$  of the form

$$p = a_0 + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n \\ 1 \leq k \leq m}} a_{i_1 \dots i_k} e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $a_0, a_{i_1 \dots i_k} \in \mathbb{C}$ . The set  $\mathcal{P}$  may be viewed as the algebra of the polynomials in  $n$  noncommuting indeterminates, with  $p \otimes q$ ,  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$ , as multiplication. For any bounded operators  $T_1, \dots, T_n$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ , define

$$p(T_1, \dots, T_n) := a_0 I_{\mathcal{H}} + \sum a_{i_1 \dots i_k} T_{i_1} T_{i_2} \dots T_{i_k}.$$

Let  $\mathbb{F}_n^+$  be the unital free semigroup on  $n$  generators  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  and the identity  $e$ . For each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ , define

$$e_\alpha := \begin{cases} e_{i_1} \otimes e_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k}, & \text{if } \alpha = g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \dots g_{i_k}, \\ 1, & \text{if } \alpha = e. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that  $\{e_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{F}^2$ . We also use  $\mathbb{F}_n^+$  to denote arbitrary products of operators. If  $T_1, \dots, T_n \in B(\mathcal{H})$ , define

$$T_\alpha := \begin{cases} T_{i_1} T_{i_2} \dots T_{i_k}, & \text{if } \alpha = g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \dots g_{i_k}, \\ I_{\mathcal{H}}, & \text{if } \alpha = e. \end{cases}$$

The length of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$  is defined by  $|\alpha| = k$ , if  $\alpha = g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \dots g_{i_k}$ , and  $|\alpha| = 0$ , if  $\alpha = e$ . For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , the left creation operator

$$S_i : \mathcal{F}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^2 \quad \text{is defined by} \quad S_i \psi = e_i \otimes \psi, \quad \psi \in \mathcal{F}^2.$$

As in [Po4], the noncommutative disc algebra  $\mathcal{A}_n$  is the norm closure of the algebra generated by  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  and  $I_{\mathcal{F}^2}$ , and the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra  $F^\infty$  is the weak operator topology closure of  $\mathcal{A}_n$  (see [Po5]).

Throughout this paper we consider a set  $\{\omega_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+}$  of weights satisfying the following properties:

- ( $\omega_1$ )  $\omega_\alpha > 0$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ ;
- ( $\omega_2$ )  $\frac{\omega_{\alpha\beta\gamma}}{\omega_{\beta\gamma}} \leq \frac{\omega_{\alpha\beta}}{\omega_\beta}$  for any  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ , with  $|\alpha| = 1$  and  $|\gamma| = 1$ ;
- ( $\omega_3$ )  $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \frac{1}{\omega_\alpha} \lambda_\alpha S_\alpha$  is invertible in  $F^\infty$  for any  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{B}_n$ , the open unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Notice that if  $\omega_\alpha = 1$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ , these conditions are satisfied. Other examples will be considered in Section 3. According to ( $\omega_3$ ), we have  $(\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \frac{1}{\omega_\alpha} \lambda_\alpha S_\alpha)^{-1} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} a_\alpha \lambda_\alpha S_\alpha$  for some constants  $a_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Hence, we deduce  $a_e = \omega_e$ , and for any  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \setminus \{e\}$ ,

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{\alpha\beta=\gamma} \frac{a_\beta}{\omega_\alpha} = 0,$$

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{\beta\alpha=\gamma} \frac{a_\beta}{\omega_\alpha} = 0.$$

The following lemma is an extension of a result from [Q] to our setting.

**Lemma 1.1.**  *$a_e > 0$  and  $a_\alpha \leq 0$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \setminus \{e\}$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $a_e > 0$ . If  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ ,  $|\gamma| = 1$ , then (1.2) shows that  $a_\gamma = -\omega_e \frac{a_e}{\omega_\gamma} \leq 0$ . Now we prove by induction. Assume that

$$(1.3) \quad a_\alpha \leq 0 \quad \text{for any } \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \text{ with } 1 \leq |\alpha| \leq k.$$

Let  $\gamma = \beta\gamma_{k+1}$  with  $\beta, \gamma_{k+1} \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ ,  $|\beta| = k$ , and  $|\gamma_{k+1}| = 1$ . According to (1.2), ( $\omega_2$ ), and (1.3), we infer that

$$a_e = - \sum_{\substack{\alpha\sigma=\beta \\ |\alpha| \geq 1}} \frac{\omega_\beta}{\omega_\sigma} a_\alpha \geq - \sum_{\substack{\alpha\epsilon=\gamma, \\ |\alpha| \geq 1, |\epsilon| \geq 1}} \frac{\omega_\gamma}{\omega_\epsilon} a_\alpha.$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{\substack{\alpha\epsilon=\gamma, \\ |\epsilon| \geq 1}} \frac{a_\alpha}{\omega_\epsilon} \geq 0.$$

According to (1.2), we have  $\sum_{\alpha \epsilon = \gamma} \frac{a_\alpha}{\omega_\epsilon} = 0$ . Using (1.4), we obtain  $a_\gamma \leq 0$ . This completes the proof. ■

Notice that for any  $\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$  and  $0 \leq p_1 \leq p_2 \leq |\gamma|$ ,

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{\substack{\alpha \beta = \gamma \\ |\alpha| \leq p_2}} \frac{a_\alpha}{\omega_\beta} \leq \sum_{\substack{\alpha \beta = \gamma \\ |\alpha| \leq p_1}} \frac{a_\alpha}{\omega_\beta}.$$

Hence, we deduce

$$(1.6) \quad 0 \leq \omega_\gamma \left[ \sum_{\substack{\alpha \beta = \gamma \\ |\alpha| \leq p}} \frac{a_\alpha}{\omega_\beta} \right] \leq a_e$$

for any  $0 \leq p \leq |\gamma|$ . On the other hand, since  $a_e$  is the only positive number among the  $a_\alpha$ 's, we obtain

$$(1.7) \quad \sum_{\substack{\alpha \beta = \gamma \\ |\beta| \leq p}} \frac{a_\alpha}{\omega_\beta} \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\substack{\beta \alpha = \gamma \\ |\beta| \leq p}} \frac{a_\alpha}{\omega_\beta} \leq 0$$

for any  $p < |\gamma|$ .

For each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , the weighted left creation operator  $W_i : \mathcal{F}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^2$  is defined by  $W_i = W_{g_i} := S_i D_i$  where  $D_i$  is the diagonal operator acting on  $\mathcal{F}^2$  by  $D_i(e_\alpha) := \mu_{g_i, \alpha} e_\alpha$ , and  $\mu_{g_i, \alpha} := \frac{\sqrt{\omega_{g_i \alpha}}}{\sqrt{\omega_\alpha}}$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ . According to  $(\omega_2)$ ,  $\omega_e \omega_{g_i, \alpha} \leq \omega_{g_i} \omega_\alpha$ . Hence,  $\|W_i\| = \|D_i\| = \frac{\sqrt{\omega_{g_i}}}{\sqrt{\omega_e}}$ . Notice also that  $W_\beta e_\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{\omega_{\beta \alpha}}}{\sqrt{\omega_\alpha}} e_{\beta \alpha}$  and

$$(1.8) \quad W_\beta^* e_\gamma = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{\omega_\gamma}}{\sqrt{\omega_\alpha}} e_\alpha, & \text{if } \gamma = \beta \alpha \text{ for some } \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We define the weighted noncommutative disc algebra  $\mathcal{A}_n(\omega_\alpha)$  to be the norm closure of all polynomials in  $W_1, \dots, W_n$  and the identity on  $\mathcal{F}^2$ .

Let  $\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\alpha W_\alpha$  be a formal sum such that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\alpha W_\alpha e_0 \in \mathcal{F}^2, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} |b_\alpha|^2 \omega_\alpha < \infty.$$

According to the relation  $(\omega_2)$ , we have  $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\alpha W_\alpha p \in \mathcal{F}^2$  for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ . Assume that  $\sup \left\| \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\alpha W_\alpha p \right\|_{\mathcal{F}^2} < \infty$ , where the supremum is taken over all polynomials  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  with  $\|p\|_{\mathcal{F}^2} \leq 1$ . Then there is a unique bounded operator on  $\mathcal{F}^2$ , denoted by  $\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ , such that

$$\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)p = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\alpha W_\alpha p$$

for any  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ . The set  $\{\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)\}$  of all the operators satisfying the above-mentioned properties is denoted by  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ . It is clear that  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$  is an operator algebra, and if  $\omega_\alpha = 1$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ , then it coincides with the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra  $F^\infty$  (see [Po4]). We will prove in Section 2 that  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$  is the WOT-closure (resp.  $w^*$ -closure) of all polynomials in  $W_1, \dots, W_n$  and the identity.

Alternatively, we define the weighted full Fock space  $\mathcal{F}^2(\omega_\alpha)$  to be the Hilbert space with a complete orthogonal system  $\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+\}$  and the weights  $\langle f_\alpha, f_\alpha \rangle = \omega_\alpha$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ . Let  $U : \mathcal{F}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^2(\omega_\alpha)$  be the unitary operator defined by  $U(e_\alpha) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_\alpha}} f_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ , and set  $V_\beta := UW_\beta U^{-1}$  for any  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ . Notice that  $V_\beta f_\alpha = f_{\beta\alpha}$  for any  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{P}(\omega_\alpha)$  the set of all *polynomials* of the form  $p = \sum_{\text{finite}} b_\alpha f_\alpha$ , with the multiplication induced by  $f_\alpha \otimes f_\beta := f_{\alpha\beta}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$  be the set of those  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}^2(\omega_\alpha)$  such that

$$\|\varphi\|_\infty := \sup\{\|\varphi \otimes p\|_{\mathcal{F}^2(\omega_\alpha)} : p \in \mathcal{P}(\omega_\alpha), \|p\|_{\mathcal{F}^2(\omega_\alpha)} \leq 1\} < \infty.$$

It is clear that if  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{F}^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ , then  $\varphi \otimes \psi \in \mathcal{F}^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ . The norm  $\|\varphi\|_\infty$  coincides with the operator norm of  $\varphi(V_1, \dots, V_n) := U\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)U^{-1}$ . Therefore, we can identify  $\mathcal{F}^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$  with  $UF^\infty(\omega_\alpha)U^{-1}$ , and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$  can be viewed as being an element in  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$  and conversely.

We refer to [Ar1], [P], and [Pi] for results on completely bounded maps and operator theory.

## 2. Noncommutative Poisson transforms

Let  $W = [W_1, \dots, W_n]$  be the set of weighted left creation operators on the full Fock space  $\mathcal{F}^2$ , as defined in Section 1. Following [Po7], we define in a similar manner a noncommutative Poisson transform on  $C^*(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $W_1, \dots, W_n$  and the identity.

According to (1.8), one can easily check that for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ ,

$$W_\beta W_\beta^* e_\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{\omega_\alpha}{\omega_\beta} e_\alpha, & \text{if } \alpha = \beta\gamma \text{ for some } \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Using this formula we can deduce that for each  $N \geq 0$

$$\sum_{|\beta| \leq N} a_\beta W_\beta W_\beta^* e_\alpha = b_{\alpha, N} e_\alpha, \quad \text{where} \quad b_{\alpha, N} = \omega_\alpha \sum_{\substack{\gamma\beta=\alpha \\ |\gamma| \leq N}} \frac{a_\gamma}{\omega_\beta}.$$

From (1.2) and (1.6) we infer that  $b_{e, N} = a_e$ , that  $b_{\alpha, N} = 0$  if  $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq N$ , and that  $0 \leq b_{\alpha, N} \leq a_e$  if  $|\alpha| > N$ . Using these relations, Lemma 1.1, and (1.5), we see

that  $\{\sum_{|\beta| \leq N} a_\beta W_\beta W_\beta^*\}_N$  is a non-increasing sequence of non-negative operators which converges in the strong operator topology to

$$\Delta(W, W^*) := \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} a_\beta W_\beta W_\beta^* = a_e P_0,$$

where  $P_0$  is the orthogonal projection onto the span of the constant function 1. Since

$$P_0 S_\alpha^* e_\gamma = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{\omega_\beta}}{\sqrt{\omega_e}} e_0, & \text{if } \beta = \gamma, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and using (1.2), it is easy to see that  $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \frac{1}{\omega_\alpha} W_\alpha a_e P_0 W_\alpha^* e_\gamma = e_\gamma$ . Therefore,

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \frac{1}{\omega_\alpha} W_\alpha \Delta(W, W^*) W_\alpha^* = I_{\mathcal{F}^2},$$

where the convergence is in the strong operator topology.

Let  $T = [T_1, \dots, T_n]$  be an  $n$ -tuple of operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Suppose that for each  $N \geq 0$

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{|\beta| \leq N} a_\beta T_\beta T_\beta^* \geq 0.$$

Since  $a_\beta \leq 0$  whenever  $|\beta| \geq 1$ , it follows that  $\{\sum_{|\beta| \leq N} a_\beta T_\beta T_\beta^*\}_{N=1}^\infty$  is a non-increasing sequence of non-negative operators in  $B(\mathcal{H})$  which converges in the strong operator topology to

$$(P) \quad \Delta(T, T^*) := \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} a_\beta T_\beta T_\beta^* \geq 0.$$

For each  $N \geq 0$  and  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \frac{1}{\omega_\alpha} \langle T_\alpha \Delta(T, T^*) T_\alpha^* h, h \rangle \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \frac{a_\beta}{\omega_\alpha} \|T_{\alpha\beta}^* h\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \left[ \sum_{\substack{\alpha\beta=\gamma \\ |\alpha| \leq N}} \frac{a_\beta}{\omega_\alpha} \right] \|T_\gamma^* h\|^2 \\ &= \|h\|^2 + \sum_{1 \leq |\gamma| \leq N} \left[ \sum_{\alpha\beta=\gamma} \frac{a_\beta}{\omega_\alpha} \right] \|T_\gamma^* h\|^2 + \sum_{|\gamma| > N} \left[ \sum_{\substack{\alpha\beta=\gamma \\ |\alpha| \leq N}} \frac{a_\beta}{\omega_\alpha} \right] \|T_\gamma^* h\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (1.1) that  $\sum_{\alpha\beta=\gamma} \frac{a_\beta}{\omega_\alpha} = 0$ . On the other hand, using (1.2) and (1.3), we deduce that  $\sum_{\substack{\alpha\beta=\gamma \\ |\alpha|\leq N}} \frac{a_\beta}{\omega_\alpha} \leq 0$  whenever  $|\gamma| > N$ . Hence,

$$0 \leq \sum_{|\alpha|\leq N} \frac{1}{\omega_\alpha} T_\alpha \Delta(T, T^*) T_\alpha^* = I_{\mathcal{H}} + \sum_{\gamma>N} \left[ \sum_{\substack{\alpha\beta=\gamma \\ |\alpha|\leq N}} \frac{a_\beta}{\omega_\alpha} \right] T_\gamma T_\gamma^* \leq I_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Therefore,

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \frac{1}{\omega_\alpha} T_\alpha \Delta(T, T^*) T_\alpha^* = I_{\mathcal{H}}$$

in the strong operator topology if and only if

$$(C_0) \quad \text{SOT} - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{|\gamma|>N} \left[ \sum_{\substack{\alpha\beta=\gamma \\ |\alpha|\leq N}} \frac{a_\beta}{\omega_\alpha} \right] T_\gamma T_\gamma^* = 0.$$

For example, if  $T = [T_1, \dots, T_n]$  is any  $n$ -tuple that satisfies (P), then  $rT := [rT_1, \dots, rT_n]$  satisfies (C<sub>0</sub>) for every  $0 < r < 1$ .

Assume that  $T = [T_1, \dots, T_n]$  are operators in  $B(\mathcal{H})$  satisfying (P) and (C<sub>0</sub>). Define the *defect* operator

$$D := (\Delta(T, T^*))^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The Poisson kernel  $K_T$  associated to  $T = [T_1, \dots, T_n]$  is the linear map

$$K_T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^2(H_n) \otimes \mathcal{H} \quad \text{defined by} \quad K_T h = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \frac{e_\alpha}{\sqrt{\omega_\alpha}} \otimes D T_\alpha^* h.$$

It follows from (2.2) that  $K_T$  is an isometry. Moreover, it is easy to check that for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ ,  $(W_\alpha^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_T h = K_T (T_\alpha^* h)$ . Hence, for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad K_T^* [W_\alpha W_\beta^* \otimes I] K_T = T_\alpha T_\beta^*.$$

The map  $\Psi_T : B(\mathcal{F}^2) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  defined by  $\Psi_T(A) = K_T^* [A \otimes I] K_T$  is clearly unital, completely contractive (hence, completely positive), and  $w^*$ -continuous. Moreover, for each  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ ,  $\Psi_T(W_\alpha W_\beta^*) = T_\alpha T_\beta^*$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *The set  $\mathcal{P}(\omega_\alpha)$  of all polynomials in  $W_1, \dots, W_n$  and the identity is  $w^*$ -dense in  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\alpha W_\alpha$  is in  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$  and  $0 < r < 1$ , then

$$\varphi_r(W_1, \dots, W_n) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\alpha r^{|\alpha|} W_\alpha$$

converges in norm, so that  $\varphi_r(W_1, \dots, W_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n(\omega_\alpha)$ . Setting  $T := [rW_1, \dots, rW_n]$ , it is clear that  $T$  satisfies (P) and  $(C_0)$ . Using (2.3), one can easily check that  $\Psi_T(\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)) = \varphi(rW_1, \dots, rW_n)$  and therefore,

$$\|\varphi_r(W_1, \dots, W_n)\| \leq \|\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)\|$$

for any  $0 < r < 1$ . One can also easily check that

$$\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) = \text{SOT} - \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \varphi_r(W_1, \dots, W_n)$$

(see for example Proposition 4.5 of [Po5]). Since the set  $\mathcal{P}(\omega_\alpha)$  of all polynomials in  $W_1, \dots, W_n$  and the identity is norm dense in  $\mathcal{A}_n(\omega_\alpha)$ , we infer that  $\mathcal{P}(\omega_\alpha)$  is WOT-dense in  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ . Since the  $w^*$  and WOT topologies coincide on bounded sets, the result follows. ■

Let  $T = [T_1, \dots, T_n]$  be an  $n$ -tuple of operators satisfying (P) and  $(C_0)$ . Notice that for any  $\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) \in F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ ,  $\varphi_r(T_1, \dots, T_n) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\alpha r^{|\alpha|} T_\alpha$  converges in norm. Similarly to Theorem 4.3 of [Po5], one can show that

$$\varphi(T_1, \dots, T_n) := \text{SOT} - \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \varphi_r(T_1, \dots, T_n)$$

exists. Notice that  $\Psi_T(\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)) = \varphi(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  for any  $\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)$  in  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ . Therefore, the restriction of  $\Psi_T$  to  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ , which is also denoted by  $\Psi_T$ , provides a WOT-continuous  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ -functional calculus for  $n$ -tuples of operators  $T = [T_1, \dots, T_n]$  satisfying properties (P) and  $(C_0)$ . We have proved the following.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $T = [T_1, \dots, T_n]$  be an  $n$ -tuple of operators satisfying property (P) and  $(C_0)$ . The Poisson transform  $\Psi_T : F^\infty(\omega_\alpha) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  defined by*

$$(2.4) \quad \Psi_T(\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)) = K_T^*(\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) \otimes I)K_T$$

*is WOT-continuous, completely contractive homomorphism and*

$$\Psi_T(\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)) = \varphi(T_1, \dots, T_n)$$

*for every  $\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) \in F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ .*

Notice that when  $\omega_\alpha = 1$  we find again the  $F^\infty$ -functional calculus for row contractions of class  $C_0$  (see [Po5]).

Suppose now that  $T = [T_1, \dots, T_n]$  is an  $n$ -tuple of operators satisfying only the property (P). For each  $0 < r < 1$ , let  $K_r = K_{rT}$  be the Poisson kernel associated

to  $rT := [rT_1, \dots, rT_n]$ , which clearly satisfies  $(C_0)$ . Let  $C^*(W_1, \dots, W_n)$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $W_1, \dots, W_n$ . Following [Po7], one can similarly prove that for any  $f(W_1, \dots, W_n) \in C^*(W_1, \dots, W_n)$ ,  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} K_r^*[f(W_1, \dots, W_n) \otimes I]K_r$  exists in the uniform topology of  $B(\mathcal{H})$ .

The Poisson transform associated to  $T = [T_1, \dots, T_n]$  is the linear map  $\Phi_T : C^*(W_1, \dots, W_n) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  defined by

$$(2.5) \quad \Phi_T(f(W_1, \dots, W_n)) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} K_r^*[f(W_1, \dots, W_n) \otimes I]K_r.$$

**Proposition 2.3.** *If  $T = [T_1, \dots, T_n]$  is an  $n$ -tuple of operators satisfying the property  $(P)$ , then the Poisson transform  $\Phi_T$  is a unital, completely contractive linear map such that  $\Phi_T(W_\alpha W_\beta^*) = T_\alpha T_\beta^*$  for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ .*

Moreover, one can easily see now that a sequence of operators  $T = [T_1, \dots, T_n]$  has property  $(P)$  if and only if there is a completely contractive linear map  $\psi : C^*(W_1, \dots, W_n) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\psi(I) = I_{\mathcal{H}}$  and  $\psi(W_\alpha W_\beta^*) = T_\alpha T_\beta^*$  for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ .

**Remark 2.4.** *When  $\omega_\alpha = 1$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ , we have  $a_e = 1, a_\gamma = -1$  if  $|\gamma| = 1$ , and  $a_\gamma = 0$  if  $|\gamma| > 1$ . In this case, the corresponding Poisson transform coincides with the one developed in [Po7] in the particular case when  $T = [T_1, \dots, T_n]$  is a row contraction.*

Let  $J \subset F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$  be a  $w^*$ -closed ideal of  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ . Define  $\mathcal{M}_J := \overline{J\mathcal{F}^2}$ , and  $\mathcal{N}_J := \mathcal{F}^2 \ominus \mathcal{M}_J$ . Since  $J$  is a right ideal,

$$(2.6) \quad \{\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)e_0 : \varphi \in J\}$$

is dense in  $\mathcal{M}_J$ ; and since  $J$  is a left ideal,  $\mathcal{N}_J$  is invariant under  $W_1^*, \dots, W_n^*$ . For each  $k \leq n$ , let  $B_k = P_{\mathcal{N}_J} W_k|_{\mathcal{N}_J}$  be the compression of  $W_k$  to  $\mathcal{N}_J$ . Since  $\mathcal{N}_J$  is semi invariant under the  $W_i$ 's, we have that  $B_\alpha = P_{\mathcal{N}_J} W_\alpha|_{\mathcal{N}_J}$  for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ .

The following result is an extension of Theorem 3.7 from [APo2] to our setting.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Suppose that  $T = [T_1, \dots, T_n]$  are operators in  $B(\mathcal{H})$  that satisfy  $(P)$  and  $(C_0)$  and that  $J \subset F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$  is a WOT-closed ideal of  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$  such that for each  $\varphi \in J$ ,  $\varphi(T_1, \dots, T_n) = 0$ . Then, for every  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $K_T h \in \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{H}$ . Moreover, the map  $\Phi_{T,J} : B(\mathcal{N}_J) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ , defined by  $\Phi_{T,J}(A) = K_T^*(A \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}})K_T$ , is  $w^*$ -continuous, unital, completely positive, and satisfies  $\Phi_{T,J}(B_\alpha B_\beta^*) = T_\alpha T_\beta^*$  for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ , where  $B_\alpha = P_{\mathcal{N}_J} W_\alpha|_{\mathcal{N}_J}$  is the compression of  $W_\alpha$  to  $\mathcal{N}_J$ .*

*Proof.* For any polynomial  $p = \sum_{\beta} b_{\beta} e_{\beta}$  in  $F^2(H_n)$  and  $h, h' \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle K_T h, p(W_1, \dots, W_n) e_0 \otimes h' \rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_e}} \sum_{\alpha} \bar{b}_{\alpha} \langle DT_{\alpha}^* h, h' \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_e}} \sum_{\alpha} \bar{b}_{\alpha} \langle h, T_{\alpha} D h' \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_e}} \langle h, p(T_1, \dots, T_n) D h' \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since the  $F^{\infty}(\omega_{\alpha})$ -functional calculus is WOT-continuous and  $\mathcal{P}(\omega_{\alpha})$  is WOT-dense in  $F^{\infty}(\omega_{\alpha})$  we infer that

$$\langle K_T h, \varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) e_0 \otimes h' \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_e}} \langle h, \varphi(T_1, \dots, T_n) D h' \rangle$$

for every  $\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) \in F^{\infty}(\omega_{\alpha})$ . Hence,  $\langle K_T h, \varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) e_0 \otimes h' \rangle = 0$  whenever  $\varphi \in J$ . According to (2.6), we conclude that  $K_T h \in (\mathcal{M}_J \otimes \mathcal{H})^{\perp} = \mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{H}$  and therefore,  $K_T = (P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_T$ . Since  $\mathcal{N}_J \subset \mathcal{F}^2$  is invariant under  $W_1^*, \dots, W_n^*$  and  $B_{\alpha} B_{\beta}^* = P_{\mathcal{N}_J} W_{\alpha} W_{\beta}^* |_{\mathcal{N}_J}$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ , it follows from (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\alpha} T_{\beta}^* &= K_T^* (W_{\alpha} W_{\beta}^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_T = K_T^* (P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) (W_{\alpha} W_{\beta}^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) (P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_T \\ &= K_T^* (P_{\mathcal{N}_J} W_{\alpha} W_{\beta}^* P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_T = K_T^* (B_{\alpha} B_{\beta}^* \otimes I_{\mathcal{H}}) K_T \end{aligned}$$

for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ . This completes the proof. ■

Let us remark that if  $T = [T_1, \dots, T_n]$  has property (P) and its Poisson kernel  $K_r$  takes values in  $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \mathcal{H}$  for every  $0 < r < 1$ , then there is a unital, completely contractive linear map  $\Phi : C^*(B_1, \dots, B_n) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$  satisfying  $\Phi(B_{\alpha} B_{\beta}^*) = T_{\alpha} T_{\beta}^*$  for all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ . The proof is similar to Remark 3.2 from [APo2], so we omit it.

### 3. Isometric representations of quotient algebras

In this section we obtain representation theorems for quotients of  $F^{\infty}(\omega_{\alpha})$  and the space of multipliers of some weighted Hardy spaces on the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . We need the following result from [APo2].

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $A$  be a  $w^*$ -closed unital subalgebra of  $B(\mathcal{H})$  and let  $J \subset A$  be a  $w^*$ -closed, 2-sided ideal. Then there exists  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{H} \otimes \ell_2$  such that the map  $\widehat{\Psi} : A/J \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$  defined by  $\widehat{\Psi}(a+J) = P_{\mathcal{E}}(a \otimes I_{\ell_2})|_{\mathcal{E}}$  is a  $w^*$ -continuous, completely isometric representation.*

The map that sends  $Y \in B(\mathcal{F}^2)$  to  $Y \otimes I_{\ell_2} \in B(\mathcal{F}^2 \otimes \ell_2)$  is unital, completely positive, and WOT-continuous. Then it follows that  $[W_1 \otimes I_{\ell_2}, \dots, W_n \otimes I_{\ell_2}]$  satisfies (P) and (C<sub>0</sub>).

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $J \subset F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$  be a  $w^*$ -closed ideal of  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ . Then the map  $\Psi : F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)/J \rightarrow B(\mathcal{N}_J)$  defined by*

$$\Psi(\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) + J) = P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)|_{\mathcal{N}_J}$$

*is a  $w^*$ -continuous, completely isometric representation.*

*Proof.* We will first show that  $\Psi$  is a  $w^*$ -continuous map. Let  $\varphi_\lambda + J$  be a net that converges to  $\varphi + J$  in the  $w^*$ -topology of  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)/J$  and let  $X \in c_1(\mathcal{N}_J)$  be an operator in the trace class of  $\mathcal{N}_J$ . Since  $\text{tr}(\eta X) = 0$  for every  $\eta \in J$ ,  $X$  induces a  $w^*$ -continuous linear functional on  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)/J$ , given by  $\langle \phi + J, X \rangle := \text{tr}(P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \phi|_{\mathcal{N}_J} X)$ ,  $\phi \in F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ . Hence, we check that

$$\text{tr}(\Psi(\varphi + J)X) = \langle \varphi + J, X \rangle = \lim_\lambda \langle \varphi_\lambda + J, X \rangle = \lim_\lambda \text{tr}(\Psi(\varphi_\lambda + J)X).$$

This shows that  $\Psi$  is  $w^*$ -continuous. Applying Proposition 3.1 to  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)/J$ , we find a  $w^*$ -continuous, completely isometric representation  $\widehat{\Psi} : F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)/J \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$ . For each  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , define

$$T_k := \widehat{\Psi}(W_k + J) = P_{\mathcal{E}} W_k \otimes I_{\ell_2}|_{\mathcal{E}}.$$

Then, for  $h \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $\langle T_\beta T_\beta^* h, h \rangle = \|T_\beta^* h\|^2 = \|P_{\mathcal{E}}(W_\beta^* \otimes I_{\ell_2})h\|^2 \leq \|(W_\beta^* \otimes I_{\ell_2})h\|^2$ . This shows that

$$(3.1) \quad T_\beta T_\beta^* \leq P_{\mathcal{E}}(W_\beta \otimes I_{\ell_2})(W_\beta^* \otimes I_{\ell_2})|_{\mathcal{E}} \quad \text{for every } \beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+.$$

When  $\beta = e$ , the two sides of (3.1) are equal to each other, and when  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_n^+ \setminus \{e\}$ ,  $a_\beta \leq 0$ . Hence, for every  $N \geq 0$ ,

$$\sum_{|\beta| \leq N} a_\beta T_\beta T_\beta^* \geq P_{\mathcal{E}} \left( \sum_{|\beta| \leq N} a_\beta (W_\beta \otimes I_{\ell_2})(W_\beta^* \otimes I_{\ell_2}) \right) |_{\mathcal{E}} \geq 0,$$

which shows that  $T = [T_1, \dots, T_n]$  satisfies property (P). It follows from (1.7) that  $\sum_{\substack{\alpha\beta=\gamma \\ |\alpha| \leq N}} \frac{a_\beta}{\omega_\alpha} \leq 0$  whenever  $|\gamma| > N$ . Hence,

$$P_{\mathcal{E}} \sum_{|\gamma| > N} \left[ \sum_{\substack{\alpha\beta=\gamma \\ |\alpha| \leq N}} \frac{a_\beta}{\omega_\alpha} \right] (W_\gamma \otimes I_{\ell_2})(W_\gamma^* \otimes I_{\ell_2})|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq \sum_{|\gamma| > N} \left[ \sum_{\substack{\alpha\beta=\gamma \\ |\alpha| \leq N}} \frac{a_\beta}{\omega_\alpha} \right] T_\gamma T_\gamma^* \leq 0.$$

Since  $[W_1 \otimes I_{\ell_2}, \dots, W_n \otimes I_{\ell_2}]$  has property  $(C_0)$  it is easy to see that  $T = [T_1, \dots, T_n]$  has the same property.

For any polynomial  $p \in \mathcal{P}(\omega_\alpha)$ ,  $\widehat{\Psi}(p + J) = p(T_1, \dots, T_n)$ . Since  $\widehat{\Psi}$  is  $w^*$ -continuous,  $\widehat{\Psi}(\varphi + J) = \varphi(T_1, \dots, T_n)$  for every  $\varphi \in F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ . Hence, if  $\varphi \in J$ , it follows from Proposition 3.1 that  $\|\varphi(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| = \|\widehat{\Psi}(\varphi + J)\| = \text{dist}(\varphi, J) = 0$ . By Proposition 2.5, there exists a  $w^*$ -continuous map  $\Phi_{T,J} : B(\mathcal{N}_J) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{E})$  such that  $\Phi_{T,J}(B_\alpha) = T_\alpha$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ , where  $B_\alpha = P_{\mathcal{N}_J} W_\alpha|_{\mathcal{N}_J}$  is the compression of  $W_\alpha$  to  $\mathcal{N}_J$ . Then  $\widehat{\Psi}(W_\alpha + J) = T_\alpha = \Phi_{T,J}(B_\alpha) = \Phi_{T,J} \circ \Psi(W_\alpha + J)$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ . Using the  $w^*$ -continuity of these maps, we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)/J & \xrightarrow{\widehat{\Psi}} & B(\mathcal{E}) \\ \Psi \searrow & & \nearrow \Phi_{T,J} \\ & B(\mathcal{N}_J) & \end{array} \quad .$$

Since  $\Phi_{T,J}$  and  $\Psi$  are completely contractive, and since  $\widehat{\Psi}$  is completely isometric, we conclude that  $\Psi$  is completely isometric. ■

Let  $\mathcal{W}(B_1, \dots, B_n)$  be the  $w^*$ -closure of the algebra generated by  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  and the identity (recall that  $B_k = P_{\mathcal{N}_J} W_k|_{\mathcal{N}_J}$  for  $k \leq n$ ).

**Proposition 3.3.**  $\mathcal{W}(B_1, \dots, B_n) = \Psi(F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)/J)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\Psi$  is a  $w^*$ -continuous isometric representation,  $\Psi(F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)/J)$  is a  $w^*$ -closed subalgebra of  $B(\mathcal{N}_J)$  (see [R, Theorem 4.14]) that contains  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  and the identity. Then it follows that  $\mathcal{W}(B_1, \dots, B_n) \subset \Psi(F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)/J)$ .

Since the set  $\{p(W_1, \dots, W_n) + J : p \in \mathcal{P}\}$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)/J$  and  $\Psi(p(W_1, \dots, W_n) + J) = p(B_1, \dots, B_n)$ , we conclude that  $\{p(B_1, \dots, B_n) : p \in \mathcal{P}\}$  is  $w^*$ -dense in  $\Psi(F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)/J)$ . Hence,  $\Psi(F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)/J) \subset \mathcal{W}(B_1, \dots, B_n)$ . ■

The proof of the following result is similar to [APo2, Theorem 4.5], so we will omit it.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $T = [T_1, \dots, T_n]$  be an  $n$ -tuple of operators satisfying property (P) and  $(C_0)$ , and let*

$$\Psi_T : F^\infty(\omega_\alpha) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}), \quad \Psi_T(f(W_1, \dots, W_n)) = f(T_1, \dots, T_n),$$

*be the  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ -functional calculus associated to  $T$ . If  $J$  is a WOT-closed, 2-sided ideal of  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$  with  $J \subset \text{Ker} \Psi_T$ , then the map*

$$\Psi_{T,J} : \mathcal{W}(B_1, \dots, B_n) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H}); \quad \Psi_{T,J}(f(B_1, \dots, B_n)) := f(T_1, \dots, T_n),$$

is a WOT-continuous, completely contractive homomorphism. In particular, for any  $f(W_1, \dots, W_n) \in F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ ,

$$\|f(T_1, \dots, T_n)\| \leq \|f(B_1, \dots, B_n)\| = \text{dist}(f(W_1, \dots, W_n), J).$$

We will now describe the quotients of  $\mathcal{W}(B_1, \dots, B_n)$ . Let  $I \subset \mathcal{W}(B_1, \dots, B_n)$  be a  $w^*$ -closed, 2-sided ideal of  $\mathcal{W}(B_1, \dots, B_n)$ . Then  $\Psi^{-1}(I) = \bar{I}/J$ , where

$$\bar{I} = \{\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) \in F^\infty(\omega_\alpha) : \varphi(B_1, \dots, B_n) \in I\}$$

is a  $w^*$ -closed, 2-sided ideal of  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$  that contains  $J$ . For each  $k \leq n$ , let  $D_k = P_{\mathcal{N}_{\bar{I}}} W_k|_{\mathcal{N}_{\bar{I}}}$  be the compression of  $W_k$  to  $\mathcal{N}_{\bar{I}}$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** *The map  $\Phi : F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)/\bar{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(B_1, \dots, B_n)/I$  defined by*

$$\Phi(\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) + \bar{I}) := \varphi(B_1, \dots, B_n) + I$$

is completely isometric,  $w^*$ -continuous, and onto. Therefore, by Theorem 3.2,  $\mathcal{W}(B_1, \dots, B_n)/I$  is completely isometric to  $\mathcal{W}(D_1, \dots, D_n)$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $\Phi$  is a well defined  $w^*$ -continuous operator. Define  $Q : F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)/J \rightarrow F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)/\bar{I}$  and  $\pi : \mathcal{W}(B_1, \dots, B_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(B_1, \dots, B_n)/I$  by  $Q(\varphi + J) = \varphi + \bar{I}$  and  $\pi(\varphi(B_1, \dots, B_n)) = \varphi(B_1, \dots, B_n) + I$ . Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)/J & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \mathcal{W}(B_1, \dots, B_n) & \xrightarrow{\Psi^{-1}} & F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)/J \\ Q \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow & & Q \downarrow \\ F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)/\bar{I} & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{W}(B_1, \dots, B_n)/I & \xrightarrow{\Phi^{-1}} & F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)/\bar{I}. \end{array}$$

Since  $Q$  and  $\pi$  are completely contractive, and  $\Psi$  and  $\Psi^{-1}$  are completely isometric, it follows that  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi^{-1}$  are completely contractive. ■

Now, let us consider some consequences of Theorem 3.2. When  $n = 1$  and  $\omega_\alpha = 1$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^2(\omega_\alpha)$  is the classical Hardy space  $H^2$  and  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$  is the analytic Toeplitz algebra  $H^\infty$ . In this case  $W_1$  is the unilateral shift on  $H^2$ .

**Corollary 3.6.** [S] *Let  $J \subset H^\infty$  be a  $w^*$ -closed ideal of  $H^\infty$ . Then the map  $\Psi : H^\infty/J \rightarrow B(\mathcal{N}_J)$  defined by  $\Psi(\varphi + J) = P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \varphi(W_1)|_{\mathcal{N}_J}$  is a  $w^*$ -continuous completely isometric representation.*

When  $n \geq 2$  and  $\omega_\alpha = 1$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^2(\omega_\alpha)$  is the full Fock space  $\mathcal{F}^2$  and  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$  is the non-commutative analytic Toeplitz algebra  $F^\infty$  (see [Po4]). The operators  $W_1, \dots, W_n$  are now the left creation operators on the full Fock space  $\mathcal{F}^2$ .

**Corollary 3.7.** ([APo2] and [DP3]) *Let  $J \subset F^\infty$  be a  $w^*$ -closed ideal of  $F^\infty$ . Then the map  $\Psi : F^\infty/J \rightarrow B(\mathcal{N}_J)$  defined by  $\Psi(\varphi + J) = P_{\mathcal{N}_J}\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)|_{\mathcal{N}_J}$  is a  $w^*$ -continuous completely isometric representation.*

When  $n = 1$  and  $\omega_\alpha = |\alpha| + 1$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+$ ,  $\mathcal{F}^2(\omega_\alpha)$  is the reproducing kernel Hilbert space with kernel on  $\mathbb{D}$  given by

$$K(z, w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\omega_n} z^n \bar{w}^n = \frac{1}{z\bar{w}} \log\left(\frac{1}{1 - z\bar{w}}\right).$$

$F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$  is the Dirichlet algebra  $D$ , which is the space of multipliers of  $K$ .

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $J \subset D$  be a  $w^*$ -closed ideal of the Dirichlet algebra  $D$ . Then the map  $\Psi : D/J \rightarrow B(\mathcal{N}_J)$  defined by  $\Psi(\varphi + J) = P_{\mathcal{N}_J}\varphi(W_1)|_{\mathcal{N}_J}$  is a  $w^*$ -continuous completely isometric representation.*

We shall consider now the particular case when  $\omega_\alpha = \omega_{|\alpha|}$ . Let  $J_+ \subset F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$  be the  $w^*$ -closed, 2-sided ideal of  $F^\infty(\omega_n)$  generated by  $\{W_i W_j - W_j W_i : i \neq j\}$ . It is not hard to check that  $\mathcal{N}_{J_+}$  is the symmetric Fock space  $\mathcal{F}_+^2$ . For  $j \leq n$ , let  $B_j = P_{\mathcal{F}_+^2} W_j|_{\mathcal{F}_+^2}$  be the compression of  $W_j$  to  $\mathcal{F}_+^2$ , and let  $\mathcal{W}(B_1, \dots, B_n)$  be the  $w^*$ -closure of the algebra generated by  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  and the identity. It follows from Proposition 3.3 that  $\mathcal{W}(B_1, \dots, B_n)$  can be identified with  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)/J_+$ .

Let  $\mathbb{B}_n$  be the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . The weighted Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{B}_n, \omega_k)$ , where  $\omega_k := \omega_\alpha$  if  $|\alpha| = k$ , is the Hilbert space with complete orthogonal system  $\{z^\gamma : \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \gamma \geq 0\}$  and weights

$$\langle z^\gamma, z^\gamma \rangle = \omega_{|\gamma|} \frac{\gamma!}{|\gamma|!},$$

where, as usual, if  $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $\gamma_i \geq 0$  for each  $i \leq n$ ,  $|\gamma| = \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_n$ ,  $\gamma! = \gamma_1! \dots \gamma_n!$ , and  $z^\gamma = z_1^{\gamma_1} \dots z_n^{\gamma_n}$ . The space of multipliers on  $H^2(\mathbb{B}_n, \omega_k)$  is denoted by  $M(\omega_k)$ . It consists of all functions  $f \in H^2(\mathbb{B}_n, \omega_k)$  such that

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup\{\|fg\|_2 : g \in H^2(\mathbb{B}_n, \omega_k), \|g\|_2 \leq 1\} < \infty.$$

Notice that  $\|f\|_\infty$  coincides with the norm of  $M_f$  in  $B(H^2(\mathbb{B}_n, \omega_k))$ , where  $M_f$  is the operator on  $H^2(\mathbb{B}_n, \omega_k)$  defined by  $M_f(g) := fg$ . For more information on  $M(\omega_k)$  we refer to [Aro] and [CM]. A standard argument shows that the space of multipliers  $M(\omega_k)$  of  $H^2(\mathbb{B}_n, \omega_k)$  is unitarily equivalent to  $\mathcal{W}(B_1, \dots, B_n)$  (see [Ar2] and [DP3]).

By Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 3.5 we obtain the following.

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $I \subset M(\omega_k)$  be a  $w^*$ -closed ideal of  $M(\omega_k)$  and let  $\mathcal{N}_I \subset H^2(\mathbb{B}_n, \omega_k)$  be the orthogonal complement of the image of  $I$  in  $H^2(\mathbb{B}_n, \omega_k)$ . Then the map  $\Psi : M(\omega_k)/I \rightarrow B(\mathcal{N}_I)$  defined by  $\Psi(f(z_1, \dots, z_n) + I) = P_{\mathcal{N}_I} M_{f(z_1, \dots, z_n)}|_{\mathcal{N}_I}$  is a  $w^*$ -continuous completely isometric representation.*

**Remark 3.10.** The techniques used to prove Theorem 3.2 apply to other algebras. The same proof gives that if  $A$  is a unital  $w^*$ -closed subalgebra of  $B(\mathcal{H})$ , with the property that for every semi invariant subspace  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{H} \otimes \ell_2$  under  $A \otimes I_{\ell_2}$ , there exists an isometry  $V : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \ell_2$  such that

$$V^*(a \otimes \ell_2) = P_{\mathcal{E}}(a \otimes I_{\ell_2})P_{\mathcal{E}}V^* \quad \text{for every } a \in A,$$

then  $\Psi_J : A/J \rightarrow B(\mathcal{N}_J)$  is completely isometric. It follows from arguments in [Mc1] and [Mc2] that some singly generated finite dimensional subalgebras of  $M_N$  satisfy this property.

#### 4. Interpolation problems

In this section we present some consequences of Section 3 to interpolation. We will use Theorem 3.2 to deduce Carathéodory's and Nevanlinna-Pick's interpolation theorems on the weighted noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebra  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ .

We need some preliminaries. For each  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{B}_n$ , define

$$z_\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_\alpha}} \bar{\lambda}_\alpha e_\alpha.$$

According to the condition  $(\omega_3)$  from Section 1, one can see that  $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \frac{1}{\omega_\alpha} \lambda_\alpha e_\alpha$  is in  $\mathcal{F}^2$ . Since  $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \lambda_\alpha e_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}^2$ , it is clear that  $z_\lambda \in \mathcal{F}^2$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}_n$ . For any  $\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) := \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\alpha W_\alpha$  in  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$  and every  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{B}_n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1) \quad \langle \varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)e_0, z_\lambda \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\alpha \frac{\sqrt{\omega_\alpha}}{\sqrt{\omega_e}} e_\alpha, \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_\alpha}} \bar{\lambda}_\alpha e_\alpha \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_e}} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_n^+} b_\alpha \lambda_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_e}} \varphi(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, according to (1.8), we infer that  $W_i^* z_\lambda = \bar{\lambda}_i z_\lambda$ , and hence,

$$(4.2) \quad \varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)^* z_\lambda = \overline{\varphi(\lambda)} z_\lambda$$

for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}_n$  and  $\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) \in F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ .

Given  $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}_n$ , a scalar matrix  $A \in M_k(\mathbb{C})$ , and an operator matrix  $\Phi = [\varphi_{ij}(W_1, \dots, W_n)]$  in  $M_k(F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)) = F^\infty(\omega_\alpha) \otimes_{\min} M_k$ , one can use (4.2) to show that  $\Phi(\lambda) := [\varphi_{ij}(\lambda)] = A$  if and only if

$$(4.3) \quad \Phi^*(z_\lambda \otimes x) = z_\lambda \otimes A^*x \quad \text{for any } x \in \ell_2^k.$$

Let us remark that, according to (4.1), for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{B}_n$ , the linear map  $\Phi_\lambda : F^\infty(\omega_\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , defined by  $\Phi_\lambda(\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n)) = \varphi(\lambda)$ , is  $w^*$ -continuous and multiplicative. Notice that if  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N \in \mathbb{B}_n$  are distinct points, then

$$J := \{\varphi(W_1, \dots, W_n) \in F^\infty(\omega_\alpha) : \varphi(\mu_1) = \varphi(\mu_2) = \dots = \varphi(\mu_N) = 0\}$$

is a  $w^*$ -closed, 2-sided ideal of  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ . Using (2.6) and (4.1), we deduce that  $\mathcal{N}_J = \text{span}\{z_{\mu_1}, \dots, z_{\mu_N}\}$ .

We shall prove now the Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation theorem on weighted non-commutative analytic Toeplitz algebras.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_N \in \mathbb{B}_n$  be  $N$ -distinct points, and let  $A_1, \dots, A_N \in M_k$  be  $k \times k$  matrices. Then there exists  $\Phi = [\varphi_{ij}(W_1, \dots, W_n)]$  in  $M_k(F^\infty(\omega_\alpha))$  such that  $\|\Phi\| \leq 1$  and  $\Phi(\mu_i) = A_i$  for  $i \leq N$  if and only if the matrix*

$$[\langle z_{\mu_i}, z_{\mu_j} \rangle (I_k - A_j A_i^*)]_{i,j \leq N}$$

*is positive semidefinite.*

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists  $\Phi = [\varphi_{ij}(W_1, \dots, W_n)] \in M_k(F^\infty(\omega_\alpha))$  such that  $\|\Phi\| \leq 1$  and  $\Phi(\mu_i) = A_i$  for  $i \leq N$ . Let  $T = (P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes I_{\ell_2^k})\Phi|_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \ell_2^k}$  be the compression of  $\Phi$  to  $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \ell_2^k$ . Hence,  $\langle T^*h, T^*h \rangle \leq \langle h, h \rangle$  for every  $h = \sum_{i \leq N} z_{\mu_i} \otimes x_i$  in  $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \ell_2^k$ . According to (4.3), we obtain

$$\sum_{i,j \leq N} \langle z_{\mu_i}, z_{\mu_j} \rangle \langle A_j A_i^* x_i, x_j \rangle \leq \sum_{i,j \leq N} \langle z_{\mu_i}, z_{\mu_j} \rangle \langle x_i, x_j \rangle,$$

and conclude that  $[\langle z_{\mu_i}, z_{\mu_j} \rangle (I_k - A_j A_i^*)]_{i,j \leq N}$  is positive semidefinite.

Conversely, suppose now that  $[\langle z_{\mu_i}, z_{\mu_j} \rangle (I_k - A_j^* A_i)]_{i,j \leq N}$  is positive semidefinite. Then, the operator  $T$  on  $\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \ell_2^k$ , defined by  $T^*(z_{\mu_i} \otimes x) = z_{\mu_i} \otimes A_i^*x$  for  $i \leq N$  and  $x \in \ell_2^k$ , satisfies  $\|T\| \leq 1$ . Similarly to Lemma 5.4 of [APo2], we can find  $\Psi = [\psi_{ij}(W_1, \dots, W_n)] \in M_k(F^\infty(\omega_\alpha))$  such that  $T = (P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes I_{\ell_2^k})\Psi|_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \ell_2^k}$ . By Theorem 3.2, there exists  $\Phi \in M_k(F^\infty(\omega_\alpha))$  such that  $(P_{\mathcal{N}_J} \otimes I_{\ell_2^k})\Phi|_{\mathcal{N}_J \otimes \ell_2^k} = T$  and  $\|\Phi\| = \|T\| \leq 1$ . Using (4.3), we obtain  $\Phi(\mu_i) = A_i$  for every  $i \leq N$ . ■

**Remark 4.2.** *Since every operator in  $B(\mathcal{H})$  can be approximated in the  $w^*$ -topology by matrices, Theorem 4.1 remains true for  $A_1, \dots, A_k \in B(\mathcal{H})$ .*

Notice that Theorem 4.1 implies Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation theorems in  $H^\infty$  (see [N] and [Pic]), in  $F^\infty$  (see [APo2] and [DP3]), in the Dirichlet algebra  $D$  (see [Q]), and in the space of multipliers  $M(\omega_k)$  of the weighted Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{B}_n, \omega_k)$  (see [Q]).

Now we shall deduce from Theorem 3.2 the Carathéodory interpolation theorem on  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$ . For each  $N \geq 1$ , let  $J_N$  be the  $w^*$ -closed, 2-sided ideal of  $F^\infty(\omega_\alpha)$  generated by  $W_\alpha$ ,  $|\alpha| = N$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{N}_{J_N} = \text{span}\{\epsilon_\alpha : |\alpha| < N\}$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , with  $\deg(p) < N$ . Then*

$$\text{dist}(p(W_1, \dots, W_n), J_N) = \|P_{\mathcal{N}_{J_N}} p(W_1, \dots, W_n)|_{\mathcal{N}_{J_N}}\|.$$

Let us remark that Theorem 4.3 recovers the classical Carathéodory's interpolation theorem on  $H^\infty$  (see for example [S]), and on  $F^\infty$  (see [Po6]). It also implies Carathéodory interpolation theorems in the Dirichlet algebra and in the space of multipliers  $M(\omega_k)$  of the weighted Hardy space  $H^2(\mathbb{B}_n, \omega_k)$  (see [Mc1] for  $n = 1$ ).

## REFERENCES

- [APo1] A. Arias and G. Popescu, *Factorization and reflexivity on Fock spaces*, Integr. Equat. Oper. Th. **23** (1995), 268–286.
- [APo2] A. Arias and G. Popescu, *Noncommutative interpolation and Poisson transforms*, preprint 1997.
- [Aro] N. Aronszajn, *Theory of reproducing kernels*, Trans. AMS **68** (1950), 337–404.
- [Ar1] W.B. Arveson, *Subalgebras of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Acta. Math. **123** (1969), 141–224.
- [Ar2] W.B. Arveson, *Subalgebras of  $C^*$ -algebras III: Multivariable operator theory*, preprint, 1997.
- [CM] C.C. Cowen and D.D. MacCluer, *Composition operators on spaces of analytic functions* (1995), CRC Press, Boca Raton, Florida, 338 pp.
- [DP1] K.R. Davidson and D. Pitts, *Invariant subspaces and hyper-reflexivity for free semigroup algebras*, preprint.
- [DP2] K.R. Davidson and D. Pitts, *Automorphisms and representations of the noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebras*, preprint.
- [DP3] K.R. Davidson and D. Pitts, *Nevanlinna-Pick interpolation for noncommutative analytic Toeplitz algebras*, preprint.
- [Dr] S.W. Drury, *A generalization of von Neumann's inequality to the complex ball*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1978), 300–304.
- [E] D.E. Evans, *On  $O_n$* , Publ. Res. Int. Math. Sci. **16** (1980), 915–927.
- [FFr] C. Foias and A.E. Frazho, *The commutant lifting approach to interpolation problems*, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 44, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, pp. 632.
- [Mc1] S. McCullough, *Carathéodory's interpolation kernels*, Int. Equat. Oper. Theor. **15** (1992), 43–71.
- [Mc2] S. McCullough, *The local de Branges-Rovnyak construction and complete Nevanlinna-Pick kernels*, Algebraic Methods in operator theory, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1994, pp. 15–24.

- [N] R. Nevanlinna, *Über beschränkte Functionen, die in gegebenen Punkten vorgeschriebene Werte annehmen*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser A **13** (1919), 7–23.
- [P] V.I. Paulsen, *Completely Bounded Maps and Dilations*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, Vol.146, New York, 1986.
- [Pic] G. Pick, *Über die Beschränkungen analytischer Functionen, welche durch vorgegebene Functionswerte bewirkt werden*, Math. Ann. **77** (1916), 7–23.
- [Pi] G. Pisier, *An introduction to the theory of operator spaces*, preprint (1995).
- [Po1] G. Popescu, *Characteristic functions for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators*, J.Operator Theory **22** (1989), 51–71.
- [Po2] G. Popescu, *On intertwining dilations for sequences of noncommuting operators*, J.Math.Anal.Appl. **167** (1992), 382–402.
- [Po3] G. Popescu, *Multi-analytic operators and some factorization theorems*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **38** (1989), 693–710.
- [Po4] G. Popescu, *Von Neumann inequality for  $(B(\mathcal{H})^n)_1$* , Math. Scand. **68** (1991), 292–304.
- [Po5] G. Popescu, *Functional calculus for noncommuting operators*, Michigan Math. J. **42** (1995), 345–356.
- [Po6] G. Popescu, *Multi-analytic operators on Fock spaces*, Math. Ann. **303** (1995), 31–46.
- [Po7] G. Popescu, *Poisson transforms on some  $C^*$ -algebras generated by isometries*, preprint (1995).
- [Q] P. Quiggin, *For which reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces is Pick's theorem true?*, Integr Equat Oper Th **16** (1993), 244–266.
- [R] W. Rudin, *Functional Analysis*, McGraw-Hill Series in Higher Mathematics, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York-Auckland-Düsseldorf, pp. 397.
- [S] D. Sarason, *Generalized interpolation in  $H^\infty$* , Trans. AMS **127** (1967), 179–203.
- [SzF] B.Sz.-Nagy, C. Foiaş, *Harmonic analysis on operators on Hilbert space*, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1970).
- [vN] J. von Neumann, *Eine Spectraltheorie für allgemeine Operatoren eines unitären Raumes*, Math. Nachr. **4** (1951), 258–281.

ALVARO ARIAS

DIVISION OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT SAN ANTONIO,  
SAN ANTONIO, TX 78249, U.S.A.

*E-mail address:* arias@math.utsa.edu

GELU POPESCU

DIVISION OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT SAN ANTONIO,  
SAN ANTONIO, TX 78249, U.S.A.

*E-mail address:* gpopescu@math.utsa.edu